# NORTHWOOD MIDDLE 710 Ikes Road Taylors, SC 29687 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 976 Students Richard L. Griffin 864-292-7640 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. William E. Harner 864-241-3456 Tommie E. Reece BOARD CHAIR 864-271-3619 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: GOOD Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 17 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS	

**Our School** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	48	297	125
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.1%	59.5%	69.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.3%	66.7%	62.6%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	91.3%	82.2%	69.7%

PACT PERFORMANCI								/.
	Englis	AND TESTING		Jon Basic		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and size of Advanced
	/11	en lesti	lested old	CM Byg	Basic oh	roficie	Hand F	cient ance
	Enfoli	9401 010	// / / A	3/0 / 0/r	0/0	o/0	br /640.	Van.
	/ • •		90	mish/ ar	iguage Ai		/ 9,6	/ ৩
All students	968	98.8	18.3	43.2	33.8	4.7	38.5	17.6
Gender	300	30.0	10.0	40.Z	33.0	7.7	30.0	17.0
Male	501	98.6	24.9	43.6	27.9	3.6	31.5	17.6
Female	467	98.9	11.0	43.0	40.1	5.9	45.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	692	98.4	11.9	42.5	39.9	5.7	45.6	17.6
African-American	205	99.5	40.5	43.8	15.7	N/A	15.7	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	27	100.0	6.5	58.1	29.0	6.5	35.5	17.6
Hispanic	36	100.0	36.4	45.5	15.2	3.0	18.2	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	835	99.2	12.5	44.7	37.6	5.2	42.8	17.6
Disabled	133	96.2	58.1	33.3	7.7	0.9	8.5	17.6
Migrant Status	.00	33.2	33.1	33.3			5.5	
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	968	98.8	18.3	43.2	33.8	4.7	38.5	17.6
English Proficiency	000	00.0	10.0	1012	00.0		00.0	1110
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	961	98.8	18.2	43.3	33.8	4.7	38.6	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	222	99.5	41.7	44.8	12.5	1.0	13.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	746	98.5	12.1	42.8	39.4	5.6	45.0	17.6
			•	·	•	•		
				Mathe	matics			
All students	968	99.4	20.8	37.3	22.2	19.6	41.9	15.5
Gender								
Male	501	98.8	22.6	33.0	19.9	24.5	44.4	15.5
Female	467	100.0	18.8	42.0	24.8	14.5	39.3	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	692	99.7	13.2	37.9	24.8	24.1	48.9	15.5
African-American	205	98.0	48.4	39.0	11.5	1.1	12.6	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	27	100.0	6.5	25.8	29.0	38.7	67.7	15.5
Hispanic	36	100.0	39.4	30.3	21.2	9.1	30.3	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	835	99.9	14.7	39.0	24.3	21.9	46.2	15.5
Disabled	133	96.2	63.5	25.2	7.8	3.5	11.3	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	968	99.4	20.8	37.3	22.2	19.6	41.9	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	961	99.4	20.7	37.5	22.1	19.6	41.8	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								

45.0

14.6

99.7

746

38.1

37.1

13.2

24.6

23.7

16.9

48.3

15.5

15.5

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enolin	aldite olo	162, 0/0 86	JOH OF	892	540	Adv olo Profit
		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	6)	/ 0,0				olo
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	English N/A	n/Languag N/A	ge Arts N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 6	327	N/A	14.2	31.3	36.5	18.0	54.5
	Grade 7	305	N/A	13.1	50.8	31.5	4.6	36.1
•	Grade 8	256	N/A	18.3	39.8	34.7	7.2	41.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	311	98.4	20.2	32.7	40.7	6.4	47.1
	Grade 7	344	98.8	16.6	47.1	34.2	2.2	36.3
	Grade 8	313	99.0	18.2	49.7	26.4	5.7	32.1

	Hallanna Cara										
Mathematics											
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
20	Grade 6	327	N/A	22.1	35.2	27.7	15.0	42.7			
	Grade 7	305	N/A	25.2	38.4	17.7	18.7	36.4			
•	Grade 8	256	N/A	29.8	43.3	19.0	7.9	27.0			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
20	Grade 6	311	100.0	19.6	35.9	24.3	20.3	44.5			
	Grade 7	344	98.3	21.8	32.4	23.7	22.1	45.8			
	Grade 8	313	100.0	21.0	44.0	18.7	16.3	35.0			

## SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 976)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	23.2%	Up from 15.7%	31.8%	14.4%
Retention rate	2.2%	Down from 3.8%	1.8%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.4%	Up from 95.7%	96.5%	95.2%
	30.4%	Up from 29.7%	26.9%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	13.4%	Up from 11.7%	11.2%	14.1%
	2.2%	Up from 2.0%	2.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.7%	Up from 0.6%	0.8%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 52)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	63.5%	Down from 66.7%	53.4%	47.1%
	84.6%	Down from 90.2%	84.6%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	92.2%	Up from 86.3%	88.8%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	98.4%	Up from 98.3%	96.3%	95.0%
	\$44,948	Up 4.4%	\$41,579	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	7.3 days	Down from 9.7 days	10.1 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.5	Up from 3.5	7.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	24.2 to 1	Up from 13.3 to 1	24.5 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	94.5%	Up from 93.5%	92.1%	88.9%
	\$4,473	Down 0.6%	\$5,345	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	67.3%	Up from 66.5%	63.1%	62.0%
	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	79.1%	Down from 94.8%	99.0%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Northwood continues to be a very successful school with strong community involvement through our PTA. Northwood's motto is "A Community of Learners" where our open-door policy encourages parents to visit and participate in their child's education. The school's goal for the 2002-2003 school year was to reduce the number of students scoring Below Basic on the PACT test by 3%. We accomplished that goal. Our overall rating for the Report Card last year was "Good."

There are several very successful programs at Northwood that serve our students. Among these are the Black Heritage Bowl Team, the Model U.N. Program, the Destination Imagination program, the Drama Program, and the Honors Chorus program. These groups alone represent over 200 students. In addition, Northwood sponsors the Northwood Knight newspaper, the Yearbook, and we had a number of students published in the anthology, Maggie's Drawers. Northwood also had a large number of students participate and place in the regional Science Fair. For the first time, we participated and placed in the regional Invention Convention. Northwood also sponsors the National Junior Honor Society, the Principal's Honor Roll, the regular Honor Roll, the B.U.G. (Bringing Up Grades) Honor Roll, and the Northwood Knight Award for good citizenship. Northwood also conducted an after-school program to assist students who had not scored Basic or above on PACT.

During this past year, the faculty of Northwood engaged in the Portfolio process, a process to document the growth and progress we have made while working towards a unified approach to self-assessment. This process allowed us to review our current school situation, develop a unified plan of improvement, self-assess our plan, communicate with our stakeholders, and account for instructional and system decisions that will move our school and students towards higher achievement. As part of that process, we have established three main school goals for the 2003-2004 school year. The first goal is that there will be a 3% decrease in the number of students scoring Below Basic on PACT. The second goal is that there will be a 3% increase in the number of students scoring Proficient and Advanced on PACT. The third goal is that there will be an increase of 5% in the number of positive indicators for parental and student perceptions about school climate , as measured by the NSSE Survey responses and the SC State Report Card survey results.

Richard L. Griffin Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.